

|   |                        |                     |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Response to Rule 312 Communication</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|   | 10/761,139             | GUMZ ET AL.         |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |
|   | James H. Blackwell     | 2176                |

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

1. ☒ The amendment filed on 26 September 2008 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been:

- a) ☐ entered.
- b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.
- c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.

Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.

- d) ☒ disapproved. See explanation below.
- e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below.

The proposed amendment attempts to amend the "said" in Line 6 of Claim 6, when it should have amended the "said" in Line 5. Stated differently, the Lines 3-7 of Claim 6 should have been amended to read:

- loading said document into a memory on a server computer, said document containing conventional tags and at least one custom tag, said custom tag associated with machine-executable instructions resident on said receiving computer in communication with a network;
- providing said document from said server computer to said receiving computer over said network; and

The examiner has received approval from the Applicant to make this changes to Claim 6 via an Examiner's Amendment.

*/Doug Hutton/*  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2100